

©Journal of Technical University at Plovdiv
 Fundamental Sciences and Applications, Vol. 11, 2005-2006
Series A-Pure and Applied Mathematics
 Bulgaria, ISSN 1310-8271

Construction of analytic functions, which determine bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and H^∞

Peyo Stoilov

Abstract

For $f \in H^\infty$ we denote by T_f the Toeplitz operator on H^p , defined by

$$T_f h = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\overline{f}(\zeta) h(\zeta)}{1 - \overline{\zeta} z} dm(\zeta), \quad h \in H^p.$$

In this paper we prove some sufficient conditions for the sequences of numbers $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in which the functions

$$f * \alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{f}(n) \alpha_n z^n$$

determine bounded Toeplitz operators $T_{f * \alpha}$ on H^1 and H^∞ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

1 Introduction

Let A be the class of all functions analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{\zeta : |\zeta| < 1\}$, $m(\zeta)$ - normalized Lebesgue measure on the circle $\mathbb{T} = \{\zeta : |\zeta| = 1\}$. Let H^p ($0 < p \leq \infty$) is the space of all functions analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfying

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^p dm(\zeta) < \infty, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

⁰**1991 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30E20, 30D50

⁰**Key words and phrases:** Analytic function, Toeplitz operators, Cauchy integrals, multipliers.

⁰**Received June 15, 2005.**

$$\|f\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)| < \infty, \quad p = \infty.$$

Let M is the space of all finite, complex Borel measures on $\mathbb{T}$ with the usual variation norm.

For $\mu \in M$, the analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$

$$K_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} d\mu(\zeta)$$

is called the Cauchy transforms of μ and the set of functions

$$K = \{f \in A : f = K_\mu, \mu \in M\}$$

is called the space of Cauchy transforms.

For $d\mu(\zeta) = \varphi(\zeta)dm(\zeta)$, $\varphi \in L^p$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote $K_\mu(z) = K_\varphi(z)$ and

$$K^p = \{f \in A : f = K_\varphi, \varphi \in L^p\}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

By the theorem of M. Riez $K^p = H^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, however $H^1 \subsetneq K^1$, $H^\infty \subsetneq K^\infty$.

We note that $K^\infty = BMOA$ (the space of analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation) [1].

For $f \in H^\infty$ we denote by T_f the Toeplitz operator on H^p , defined by

$$T_f h = K_{\bar{f}h}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\bar{f}(\zeta)h(\zeta)}{1 - \bar{\zeta}z} dm(\zeta), \quad h \in H^p.$$

By the theorem of M. Riez for $1 < p < \infty$ the operator T_f is bounded on H^p for all $f \in H^\infty$. But if $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ not every function $f \in H^\infty$ gives rise to bounded Toeplitz operator T_f on H^1 and H^∞ .

There is also an interesting connection between multipliers of the spaces K and K^p , $p = 1, \infty$ and the Toeplitz operators.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^p$ be the class to all multipliers of the spaces K and K^p :

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{f \in A : fg \in K, \forall g \in K\},$$

$$\mathfrak{M}^p = \{f \in A : fg \in K^p, \forall g \in K^p\}.$$

Since $K^p = H^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, then $\mathfrak{M}^p = H^\infty$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

However

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^1 \subsetneq H^\infty, \quad \mathfrak{M}^\infty \subsetneq H^\infty$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^1 = \{f \in H^\infty : \|T_f\|_{H^\infty} < \infty\} [3],$$

$$\mathfrak{M}^\infty = \{f \in H^\infty : \|T_f\|_{H^1} < \infty\} [2].$$

Let's note, that more information, bibliography and review of results for the spaces K and $\mathfrak{M}$ contains the new monograph [5] .

Since $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}^1 \subsetneq H^\infty$, $\mathfrak{M}^\infty \subsetneq H^\infty$ i.e. not all function $f \in H^\infty$ give rise to bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and H^∞ , then naturally arises the following task:

To describe thesequencesof numbers $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$, for whichthe functions

$$f * \alpha \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{n \geq 1} \hat{f}(n) \alpha_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

give rise to bounded Toeplitz operators $T_{f*\alpha}$ on H^1 and H^∞ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

In this paper we prove some sufficient conditions for the sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in which Toeplitz operator $T_{f*\alpha}$ is bounded on H^1 and H^∞ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

Further we will use the following important theorem:

Theorem of Smirnov.

Let $0 < p < q$, $f \in H^p$ and has L^q boundary values ($f \in L^q(\mathbb{T})$). Then $f \in H^q$.

We include also its proof for convenience of the reader.

Proof. Since $f \in H^p$, then $f = Bg$, where B is a Blaschke product, $g \in H^p$ and $g \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$.

The function $g^p \in H^1$ and applying the formula of Poisson to the function g^p we have

$$g^p(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} g^p(\zeta) P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta), \quad P_z(\zeta) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}, \quad z \in D.$$

From this formula, taking into account that

$|f(z)| \leq |g(z)|$ in $\mathbb{D}$, $|f(\zeta)| = |g(\zeta)|$ for almostevery $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, follows

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^p P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

If $q = \infty$, then $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and $\|f\|_{H^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} < \infty$.

If $q < \infty$, then applying the Holder's inequalitywe have

$$|f(z)|^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^p (P_z(\zeta))^{p/q} (P_z(\zeta))^{1-p/q} dm(\zeta) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right)^{p/q} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right)^{1-p/q} = \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right)^{p/q} \Rightarrow \\
&|f(z)|^q \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q P_z(\zeta) dm(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating on the circle $|z| = r$, $0 < r < 1$ we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\eta)|^q dm(\eta) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)|^q \frac{1-r^2}{|\zeta-r\eta|^2} dm(\zeta) dm(\eta) \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}^q < \infty.$$

Consequently $f \in H^q$. $\square$

2 Main results

Let $\mathfrak{N}$ is the class of all functions $f \in H^\infty$ for which

$$\Lambda(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\eta \in \mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|f(\zeta) - f(\eta)|}{|\zeta - \eta|} dm(\zeta) < \infty.$$

For $f \in \mathfrak{N}$ we denote $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{H^\infty} + \Lambda(f)$.

Theorem 1. *If $f \in \mathfrak{N}$, then Toeplitz operator T_f is bounded on H^p ($p = 1, \infty$) and*

$$\|T_f\|_{H^p} \leq \|f\|_{\mathfrak{N}}.$$

Proof. The case $p = \infty$ is proved in [3,4] and is generalized in [6] for the multipliers of the integrals of Cauchy-Stieltjes type in domains with closed Jordan curve.

We shall prove the case $p = 1$.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{N}$, $h \in H^1$. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a subset with total measure ($m(\mathbb{E}) = 1$) lying on $\mathbb{T}$ so that

$$\|f\|_{H^\infty} = \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}} |f(\eta)|.$$

Then

$$\|T_f h\|_{H^1} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)} h(\zeta)}{\zeta - r\eta} dm(\zeta) \right| dm(\eta) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\bar{f}(\zeta) - \bar{f}(r\eta)}{\zeta - r\eta} h(\zeta) \zeta dm(\zeta) + \bar{f}(r\eta) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{\zeta - r\eta} h(\zeta) \zeta dm(\zeta) \right| dm(\eta) \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{\bar{f}(\zeta) - \bar{f}(r\eta)}{\zeta - r\eta} \right| |h(\zeta)| dm(\zeta) dm(\eta) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\bar{f}(r\eta) h(r\eta)| dm(\eta) \right\} \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{\substack{0 < r < 1 \\ \zeta \in \mathbb{E}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{f(\zeta) - f(r\eta)}{\zeta - r\eta} \right| dm(\eta) + \|f\|_{H^\infty} \right) \|h\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We denote for $\zeta \in \mathbb{E}$

$$F_\zeta(z) = \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then

$$\|T_f h\|_{H^1} \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{E}} (\|F_\zeta\|_{H^1} + \|f\|_{H^\infty}) \|h\|_{H^1}.$$

To end the proof is necessary to show

$$f \in \mathfrak{N} \Rightarrow \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{E}} \|F_\zeta\|_{H^1} < \infty.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{\zeta - z} \in H^p \quad (0 < p < 1)$$

and $f \in H^\infty$, then $F_\zeta(z) \in H^p$ ($0 < p < 1$).

Furthermore

$$f \in \mathfrak{N} \Rightarrow \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{E}} \|F_\zeta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \leq \Lambda(f) < \infty$$

and according to the Theorem of Smirnov

$$F_\zeta(z) \in H^1, \quad \|F_\zeta\|_{H^1} = \|F_\zeta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \leq \Lambda(f) < \infty.$$

Consequently

$$\|T_f\|_{H^1} \leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{E}} (\|F_\zeta\|_{H^1} + \|f\|_{H^\infty}) \leq \Lambda(f) + \|f\|_{H^\infty} = \|f\|_{\mathfrak{N}} < \infty. \square$$

Remark. We note that from the Theorem of Stegenga [2] characterizing a class of bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 does not follow Theorem 1 for $p = 1$.

Lemma 1.[3] If p_n is a polynomial of degree n , then

$$\|p_n\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq 3 \|p_n\|_{H^\infty} \log(n+2).$$

Definition. A sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of positive numbers is called concave if

$$\alpha_{n+2} - \alpha_{n+1} \geq \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \Leftrightarrow \alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2} \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a monotone decreasing, concave sequence of positive numbers and

$$\|\alpha\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_n}{n+1} < \infty.$$

Then $f * \alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$, Toeplitz operator $T_{f * \alpha}$ is bounded on H^1 and H^∞ for all $f \in H^\infty$ and

$$\|T_{f * \alpha}\|_{H^p} \leq \|f * \alpha\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq 12 \|f\|_{H^\infty} \|\alpha\|, \quad p = 1, \infty.$$

Proof. Using Abel's formula two times we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_n}{n+1} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) \log(n+2) = \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2}) \sum_{k=0}^n \log(k+2). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \log(k+2) \geq \sum_{k=[n/2]}^n \log(k+2) \geq (n/2+1) \log([n/2]+2) \geq \frac{1}{4}(n+1) \log(n+2),$$

then

$$4 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_n}{n+1} \geq \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2}) (n+1) \log(n+2).$$

Further let $f \in H^\infty$ and

$$S_n(f) = \sum_{k=0}^n \hat{f}(k) z^k; \quad \sigma_n(f) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n S_k(f).$$

Applying the Abel's formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f * \alpha &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{f}(n) \alpha_n z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) S_n(f) = \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2})(n+1) \sigma_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 1.

$$\|\sigma_n(f)\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq 3 \|\sigma_n(f)\|_{H^\infty} \log(n+2) \leq 3 \|f\|_{H^\infty} \log(n+2),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * \alpha\|_{\mathfrak{N}} &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2})(n+1) \|\sigma_n(f)\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq \\ &\leq 3 \|f\|_{H^\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n - 2\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_{n+2})(n+1) \log(n+2) \leq \\ &\leq 12 \|f\|_{H^\infty} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_n}{n+1} = 12 \|f\|_{H^\infty} \|\alpha\| < \infty. \square \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition follows at once from Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let α denote one of the sequences $(\varepsilon > 0)$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^\varepsilon} \right)_{n \geq 0};$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n+2)} \right)_{n \geq 0};$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\log(n+2) \log^{1+\varepsilon} \log(n+3)} \right)_{n \geq 0}, \dots\dots\dots$$

Then $f * \alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$, Toeplitz operator $T_{f*\alpha}$ is bounded on H^1 and H^∞ for all $f \in H^\infty$.

Remark. *Theorem 3 was proved by another method in [3] (Theorem 7.) for the bounded Toeplitz operators $T_{f*\alpha}$ on H^∞ .*

Theorem 4. *Let the sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3. If the sequence $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \ell^2$, then there exists a function $f \in \mathfrak{N}$, satisfying*

$$\left| \hat{f}(n) \right| \geq \alpha_n |a_n|, \quad \|f\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq c_0 \|\alpha\| \|a\|_{\ell^2},$$

where c_0 is an absolute constant.

Proof. By the Theorem of Kislyakov [7] if $a = (a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \ell^2$, then there exists a function $g \in H^\infty$, satisfying

$$|\hat{g}(n)| \geq |a_n|, \quad \|g\|_{H^\infty} \leq B \|a\|_{\ell^2},$$

where B is an absolute constant. By Theorem 2.3 $f = g * \alpha \in \mathfrak{N}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq 12 \|\alpha\| \|g\|_{H^\infty} \leq 12B \|\alpha\| \|a\|_{\ell^2}. \square$$

References

- [1] J. B. Garnett. *Bounded analytic functions*. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1981. MR0628971 (83g:30037)
- [2] D. A. Stegenga. *Bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and applications of the duality between H^1 and the functions of bounded mean oscillation*. Amer. J. Math., 98, 1976, no. 3, 573-589. MR0420326 (54 #8340)
- [3] S. A. Vinogradov. *Properties of multipliers of Cauchy - Stieltjes integrals and some factorization problems for analytic functions*. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) vol. 115, 1980, 1-32. MR0586560 (58 #28518)
- [4] S. V. Hruscev, S. A. Vinogradov. *Inner functions and multipliers of Cauchy type integrals*. Ark. mat, 19, 1981, 23-42. MR0625535 (83c:30027)
- [5] J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson, T. W. Ross. *The Cauchy transform*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR2215991 (2006m: 30003)
- [6] P. Stoilov. *Multipliers of integrals of Cauchy - Stieltjes type*. Mathematics and mathematical education, Publ. House Bulgar. Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1986, 316 - 319. (Russian) MR0872936 (88e:30104)

- [7] S. V. Kislyakov. *Fourier coefficients of boundary values of functions that are analytic in the disc and bidisc*. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. vol. 155, 1981, 77–94. (Russian) MR0615566 (83a:42005)

Department of Mathematics
Technical University at Plovdiv
25, Tsanko Dyustabanov,
Plovdiv, Bulgaria
e-mail: peyyyo@mail.bg